

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Martin
Given Name	Pam
Person ID	1286201
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1286201_SOSGeneral.pdf PFE1286201_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1286201_SOSElton.pdf
Family Name	Martin
Given Name	Pam
Person ID	1286201
Title	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1286201_SOSGeneral.pdf PFE1286201_SOSElton.pdf PFE1286201_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Please see supporting evidence documents uploaded and read in full
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Removal of this greenbelt allocation from the plan
Family Name	Martin

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Given Name	Pam
Person ID	1286201
Title	JPA 9: Walshaw
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1286201_SOSGeneral.pdf PFE1286201_SOSElton.pdf PFE1286201_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Please see supporting evidence documents uploaded and read in full
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Removal of this greenbelt allocation from this plan
Family Name	Martin
Given Name	Pam
Person ID	1286201
Title	Supporting Evidence
Type	Web
Include files	PFE1286201_SOSGeneral.pdf PFE1286201_SOSElton.pdf PFE1286201_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Redacted comment on supporting documents - Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to	Please refer to the supporting evidence documents uploaded and read in full. Elton and Walshaw are crammed with cars and houses and have started to flood in recent years. The roads are congested with no way to enlarge or

be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

widen them. The traffic fumes are impacting on our childrens health. There is already a shortfall in doctors/dentists/schools places.

Building on green belt destroys wildlife and our eco system.

There is no credible evidence of job creation.

From the beginning the whole process has not been been transparent and has used wrong and outdated numbers and housing information.

It appears to me (personal opinion) that the whole thing is about making lots of money and not about meeting the needs of the electorate who live here. No body appears to be accountable for following through all the promises that the developers have made. Bury council have a poor track record in holding people to account. They lack a robust and realistic plan. They lack public confidence. They lack the ability to serve their electorate. They lack the ability to see what's right in front of them. They lack the ability to say NO to greedy developers. They lack the ability to come up with an alternative and realistic plan that will work both for and with the people they serve.